



SECONDARY DATA ANALYSIS INITIATIVE 4

Tina Haux
Lecturer in Quantitative Social
Policy – SSPSSR, University of
Kent

OVERVIEW

1. Parameters of the grant
2. Process of decision-making
3. Reflections
4. Questions

QUICK FACTS

- Budget: up to £200.000
 - Around 18-21 proposals per year
- Duration: up to 18 months
- Deadline: none (rolling call)
 - But 3 Grant Assessment Panels,
 - March, July and November each year

PART 1: KEY PARAMETERS OF THE GRANT

1. ESRC-funded data
2. Early career researchers
3. Research impacts
4. Innovative data or methodologies

LIST OF ESRC RESOURCES

Longitudinal

Biodata

Election studies

Big data

Employment

International comparative

Qualitative studies

Linguistic

For full list, please refer to appendix A:

▪ <http://www.esrc.ac.uk/files/funding/funding-opportunities/sdai-open-call-specification/>

EARLY CAREER RESEARCHER (ECR)

Genuine involvement of ECR:

- Lead author on paper/s
- Involved in dissemination
- Tailored skills development
- Can be PI

Definition:

- Maximum of four years academic research experience since submission of PhD, unless already PI on ESRC grant/ Or equivalent professional standing/ Must have submitted PhD by the time the grant starts/ Career breaks will be taken into account

For more information, see FAQs: <http://www.esrc.ac.uk/files/funding/funding-opportunities/sdai-open-call-faqs/>

RESEARCH IMPACT

- Identification of and communication with key stakeholders, i.e. non-academic organisations working in the field throughout project

To be demonstrated through

- Letters of support
- Collaboration
- Detailed and sophisticated dissemination strategy

INNOVATIVE DATA OR METHODOLOGIES

Data linkage with

- Administrative Data Research network (<http://adrn.ac.uk/>)
- Local government and business data
- Part of the ESRC Big Data initiative

PART 2: ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Proposals reviewed by (at least) two academics and one user

Shortlisting for consideration by panel

Introduced by two academics and one user panel member

- Subject expertise of reviewers and introducers

Figures from SDAI 3:

96 outline bids

40 invited to submit full applications and considered by panel

18 proposals funded



ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Originality, innovation and potential contribution to knowledge

Research design and methods

Potential for capacity-building

Project management and research partnerships

Outputs, dissemination and potential for impact on theory, policy and practice

Value for money

Time commitments of research staff



ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Originality, innovation and potential contribution to knowledge

Research design and methods

Potential for capacity-building

Project management and research partnerships

Outputs, dissemination and potential for impact on theory, policy and practice

Value for money

Time commitments of research staff

REVIEWER AND INTRODUCER SCORES

REVIEWERS:

Outstanding, excellent, good, satisfactory, fair/ some weaknesses, poor
(essentially six point scale)

INTRODUCERS:

10 point scale, effectively only scores 5 to 10 being used
(now we are talking numbers)

RANKING OF PROPOSALS BEFORE MEETING

Likely decision	No of bids	Range of reviewer scores	Range of introducers scores
-----------------	------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------

Yes	11	5.8 - 4.7	9 - 8
Maybe	16	5.5 - 4.0	7.67 - 7
No	13	4.7 - 4.0	6.7 - 5.33

Total	40	Funds for 20 projects	Total funded: 18
-------	----	-----------------------	------------------

Essentially, (just over) half the maybes could be funded

EXAMPLES OF *MAYBES* SCORES

Introducer scores	Average
8	7.67
7	
8	
8	7.33
8	
6	
7	7.00
8	
6	

PART 3: REFLECTIONS

Key criteria

- Contribution to knowledge, often through innovation
- Impact: Letters of support/ collaboration
- Capacity building: career development for promising ECR
- Important topic
- Less important – value for money

What else is being discussed:

- Being able to deliver, i.e. publications
- Comparative case studies needed to be justified beyond comparable data
- Paying researcher in other countries again needed to be well justified

DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATION SPECIAL SDAI INITIATIVE

Essentially similar format as SDAI proposals

- £200,000
- 18 months

But

- not limited to ESRC funded resources and
- submissions need to address topic areas specified by the DoE:
 - Teaching and testing
 - Disadvantage/ supporting vulnerable children
 - Education trajectories
 - Predictive tool for individual pupils
 - Attendance at school
 - Careers provision

Deadline: 16th of March 2017

<http://www.esrc.ac.uk/files/funding/funding-opportunities/sdai-dfe-highlight-notice/>

PART 4: ANY QUESTIONS?

More information: ESRC website

- <http://www.esrc.ac.uk/files/funding/funding-opportunities/sdai-open-call-specification/>

Contact: sdai@esrc.ac.uk

Myself: T.Haux@kant.ac.uk