

Covert Networks: Theories and Applications of Network Analysis

Gemma Edwards, Kathryn Oliver, Martin Everett, Nick Crossley, Johan Koskinen, Chiara Broccatelli

Mitchell Centre for Social Network Analysis University of Manchester UK





The Leverhulme Trust

Collecting and Analyzing Covert Social Network Data

(Leverhulme Project, 2013-16)

- Increased interest in studying 'covert networks' (dark, underground, clandestine) using SNA, but many unresolved substantive and methodological questions:
 - Not least questions about the concept itself: 'secrecy' as the defining feature, but secrecy of what? From whom? When?
 - What makes a network 'covert', and how covertness is achieved, varies and demands sociological attention
 - Terrorist networks and criminal networks are prime examples, but many others (from social movements to swingers)
- Aim to create an archive of covert network datasets and then use it for theoretical exploration, empirical analysis, and methodological development

Why?

- Accepted that covertness affects network structure. But lack of empirical evidence for many claims made about the structure and dynamics of covert networks:
- Importance of pre-existing ties
- Networks by design
- A secrecy-efficiency trade off (Crossley, Edwards et al 2012)
- And some of the central structural properties are contended:
- (degree) Centralized or decentralized?
- Dense or sparse?
- The variability of claims also suggests that our ability to generalize about covert networks and interpret results from specific datasets is weak, and that there is a further need for comparison with 'overt' cases

Centralisation





Methodological development and challenges

- A need to address key methodological questions and challenges posed by covert network data:
 - If/how different from 'overt' networks?
 - How can data be collected and quality issues be addressed?
 - Do we need new SNA methods/measures to analyse covert networks?
 - Can covert networks be identified through certain properties?
 - How can we deal with acute problems of boundary specification, partial and missing data?
 - What statistical models could be developed to help academics and practitioners deal with these issues?
 - Hope to provide some answers, but also a useful reflection on, and assessment of, the methodological challenges of working with covert network data

What we have done so far...

- Started to unpack and operationalize 'covertness' as a set of variables that can be used in generating hypotheses about structural properties (absorption, aims, actions, consequences, segregation)
- Identified over 200 hypotheses in existing literature about covert networks
- Collated and cleaned over 50 covert network datasets (some our own, most from other studies freely available / provided by authors)
- SNA on datasets is just beginning...

Thanks!

For more information and resources visit our project website:

<u>http://www.socialsciences.manchester.ac.uk/res</u> <u>earch/research-centres-and-networks/mitchell-</u> <u>centre/our-research/covert-networks/</u>

The following will shortly be available on the website:

- Working paper: Oliver. K (2014) 'Covert network data: a typology of effects, processes, practices and structures'
- List of the covert network datasets currently in the archive