

MANCHESTER
1824

02 NOVEMBER
MANCHESTER CENTRAL M2 3GX

N8 PRP POLICING INNOVATION FORUM 2017

EARLY INTERVENTION POLICING IN
DOMESTIC ABUSE

#N8PIF

N8 POLICING
RESEARCH
PARTNERSHIP

Early intervention to reduce youth gang involvement

JON SHUTE
CENTRE FOR CRIMINOLOGY & CRIMINAL JUSTICE
UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER



School of Law, University of Manchester
RANKED 28TH IN WORLD
6TH IN THE UK

THE WORLD
UNIVERSITY
RANKINGS

CV in this area

DA/IPV: WORKED WITH 'RESPECT' 2006/7

GANGS: 'EUROGANG' member since 2007

Shute (2008). Parenting & youth gangs: risk, resilience and effective support. Report for Manchester City Council. Manchester: CCCJ

Aldridge et al (2008). Parents, families & gangs: effective family interventions for reducing harmful outcomes. Report for Manchester City Council. Manchester: CCCJ

Aldridge et al (2011). 'Blame the parents?': challenges for parent-focussed programmes with families of gang-involved young people. *Children & Society* 25 (5), 371-381

Shute et al (2012) "Loading the policy blunderbuss." *Criminal Justice Matters* 87, no. 1(2012): 40-41.

Shute (2013) "Family support as a gang reduction measure." *Children & Society* 27, no. 1: 48-59.

Shute & Medina (2014) "Hunting Gruffalo: 'Gangs', Unreason and the Big Bad Coalition." *Criminal Justice Matters* 96, no. 1: 26-27.

Medina et al (2014) "Proximal Adolescent Outcomes of Gang Membership in England and Wales." *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 51, no. 2 (2014): 168-199.

Shute (2016) 'Hunting Gruffalo with a blunderbuss: On the ethics of constructing and responding to English youth gangs'. In J. Jackson & J. Jacobs (Eds) *Handbook of Criminal Justice Ethics*. London: Routledge.

OUTLINE

- why gangs?
- why **early** intervention?
- why **family**-based intervention?
- learning from current & past policy
- moving forward

(1) WHY STUDY GANGS?

- they **exist** (*youth* gangs vs. other youth groups & organised criminal networks)
- involvement **amplifies harms** of all kinds (incl. DA/violence against women):
 - DA in household may be risk-factor (RF) for gang membership (GM)
 - GM an RF for experiencing & witnessing gendered violence
 - likely to be longer-term effects/continuity after GM

PRIORITY TARGET IN TIMES OF AUSTERITY

(2) MODAL RESPONSES

- community organisation/detached youth work
- policing: co-ordinated deterrence & suppression
- **'UK BLUNDERBUSS'**: EGaYV: LARs; activity vs. achievement

(3) PROBLEMS

- evidence of **effectiveness** (theory of change?; adequate evaluation; complexity; outcomes; ST vs. LT, etc)
- **costs** (financial, community relations, 'sticky labels')

(4) AN ALTERNATIVE?

public health approach

- primary/secondary/tertiary
- rationale: longitudinal evidence base;
understand & reduce RFs (pushes),
maximise protective factors
- **£££** econometric argument

(5) AN ALTERNATIVE?

family-centred public health approach

- family factors predict GM; exert effects there
- best programmes 'use' family as setting but link to other causal domains
- range of benefits

(6) MODAL REPSONSES

- **UK BLUNDERBUSS**: POs, FIPs, IIPs, TFP
 - clear positives: logic of the keyworker; multi-agency; whole family approach BUT
 - complexity, evidence of effectiveness; costs
- ‘Blueprint programmes’: **no gang measures!**

(7) MOVING FORWARD

- trial Blueprint programmes but measure (well!) GM as RF and outcome.
 - add in 'gang-modified' components
- trial **ANYTHING** as long as:
 1. clear evidence-base & **theory of change**
 2. monitoring & programme '**drift**'
 3. **evaluation**: independent, comparison group, valid measures, sensible timescales

(8) ROLE OF THE POLICE?

- **planning & advice:** engaging individuals, families and communities (as part of a multiagency approach)
- **assessment & targeting:** better/best/common methods for identifying GM ('other report') [PILOT]
- **partner & guarantor:** referral & enforcement

SUMMARY

- GMs a **priority target** in times of austerity
- try (again!) **THE BEST family-based** interventions but **measure effects on GM**
 - opportunity for dedicated modules
- DA/IPV: **measure** in individual/family/gang
 - opportunity for dedicated modules
- **EVALUATE or waste/stand still**

AREAS FOR DISCUSSION

- REACTION TO BASIC ARGUMENT: GANG PREVENTION per se & AS ROUTE TO TACKLE DA
- EXPERIENCE OF WORKING ON PROJECTS WITH GANG COMPONENT/CONTENT AND/OR WITH GANG MEMBERS? **LEARNING?**
- EXPERIENCE OF WORKING ON MULTIAGENCY FAMILY INTERVENTIONS? **LEARNING?**
- HOW CURRENTLY MEASURE/ASSESS GM?
- POSSIBLE PROBLEMS & PAYOFFS?