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Abstract

Labour force forecasts are required by local planning, legally guided in the UK by regulations on land use. Methods of forecasting the labour force, and data available for UK practice, are reviewed here. A best strategy for sub-national forecasts of the labour supply is found empirically to involve an accurate national forecast with a local starting point. Key trends are the decreasing economic activity of young adults, the increasing activity of older adults, and the impact of changing state pension age. However, there exists neither an acceptable national forecast of economic activity, nor a standard approach to local forecasts. Software for implementation of sub-national forecasts is described, and six types of scenarios listed to aid local planning, which reflect uncertainty about current trends and the impact of changes in policy. Research and development of forecasting local labour force is urgently needed.
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Introduction

This paper is methodological in its content but practical in its purpose. It proposes and tests candidate methods for achieving a standard set of demographic forecasts of economic activity for subnational areas in the UK, where the need for them is particularly clear but is not currently satisfied by government statistics.

This introductory section reviews the need for forecasts of local economic activity, poses key questions about future trends, and sets out the research questions about forecasting methods that the paper seeks to answer. The following substantive sections describe current practice within a review of methods, the limitations of national projections for the UK, an analysis of the stability of local economic activity over time, a test of strategies using harmonised data from the past three censuses, practical issues of software availability and appropriate scenarios in a planning context. The final section outlines remaining questions which demand an academic or government programme of research to improve national as well as local forecasting. Throughout this paper, forecasts and projections will be used interchangeably, as both are intended to reflect likely future conditions.

Need for local forecasts of economic activity

Economic activity, the proportion of the population that is working or seeking work, is a fundamental indicator of the level of participation in the economy. All those economically active make up the labour force. Taken together with a forecast of population, a forecast of economic activity shows the future supply of labour. In social terms it indicates the need for jobs. In economic planning it indicates the availability of labour to satisfy the needs of development. Most governments promote expectations and policies that seek to increase levels of participation, seen as indicators of integration and of potential economic production. Policy interest in economic activity focuses on the impact of an ageing population that reduces activity, on the compensating increases of activity possible among women and at younger or older ages, and on unemployment that discourages entry and encourages exit from the labour force (ILO, 2015). The focuses are similar in developing countries but with different emphases (Fields, 2010).

For local investigations of the labour market, forecasts of the economically active population (the labour supply) based on demographic and economic activity trends are usually made independently of forecasts of employment (the labour demand) based on economic trends. The gap between labour supply and demand identifies policy issues. In England, Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) of local government and businesses undertake regular reviews, taking some of the functions of the Regional Development Agencies abolished in 2012. As an example of the many comparisons of forecasts of labour supply and demand, a report for the LEP based on the Isle of Wight and Hampshire concluded that “The strong growth in employment over the forecast period coupled with flat growth in the working age population will squeeze the labour market in the years ahead. The labour market tightening should push down unemployment rates from current levels, though additional demand for labour in Solent LEP will increasingly have to be satisfied by the inflow of migrants or a rise in the level of commuters into the area” (Oxford Economics, 2014: 7).

Any country that stipulates the regulation of land use according to social needs must logically have forecasts that integrate demographic, labour force and household forecasts. In Britain, the forecast
of supply and demand are required to be made consistent by government guidance that has legal status overseeing the Local Plans that each district must make. The guidance stipulates a starting point of official projections in which recent demographic levels of fertility, mortality and migration are assumed to continue, along with recent levels of household formation, or foreseeable changes in each of these factors. The Local Plan (which has come under varied names of strategic, structure or development plan) must identify the land that will be available to developers to satisfy the forecast number of households.

However the Cameron government since 2010 has changed the guidance for Local Plans in England in a number of ways to make it more likely that land will be released beyond the demographic forecast (CLG, 2015). The guidance has been translated into practical steps for implementation by the Planning Advisory Service (2014). The implementation of the guidance is interpreted in legal fashion when draft Plans are contested. They always are contested, not least by developers whose incentives include maximising the land released, to reduce the price of housing land and to increase their choice of the most profitable sites. Although the guidance stipulates that the government’s own demographic forecast of local population and households should be the starting point for assessment of need for housing, and may be constrained by physical or national legal obligations so long as the shortfall is taken up by neighbouring areas, no other reductions from the demographic forecast are allowed. On the other hand, the Local Plan must cater for more than the demographic demand to make up for past ‘undersupply’, and to meet local policies that may include targets for future growth in the number of households (CLG, 2015: paras 14-20).

The allowance for an aspirational target of jobs has been taken up as the ‘new normal’ and has created a new focus on the future of economic activity. All other things being equal, a growth in jobs beyond that expected from demographic change would require more land for housing to accommodate the extra workers and their families. However, if economic activity were to continue to increase as in the past decade in Britain, or if the rising age of entitlement to a state pension were to lead to significant increases in older people’s economic activity, then those extra jobs would be taken by the existing population without any requirement for extra housing. Thus realistic jobs growth and future economic activity have become much more central to the examination of local plans than hitherto (for examples of the debate, see Peter Brett Associates 2014, especially Appendix D, and Shropshire County Council 2014).

The demographic modelling that integrates population, the labour force and households has long been documented (Davis & Lloyd 1984; Harding 1986; Field & MacGregor 1987). The feedback to allow a target number of jobs to influence future population and housing need has been documented (Simpson 2004) and is implemented in the industry standard software for local demographic planning in the UK, POPGROUP (University of Manchester 2014; Edge Analytics Ltd. 2010, 2013). Population and household projections are regularly produced by the government statistical agencies for all local authority districts in the UK (ONS 2015; Welsh Government 2011).

The missing ingredient is a forecast of economic activity. National forecasts were discontinued by the Office for National Statistics after their 2004-based round (Madouros, 2006), and were never implemented for areas smaller than Regions, much larger than local authority districts. As described below, current practice for local plan discussions is to assume no change in economic activity, to
calculate scenarios based on qualitative assumptions, or to hide assumptions about economic activity within demand-led models.

*Trends in economic activity*

Figure 1 shows the evolution of economic activity for England and Wales over the past five censuses from 1971 to 2011. It illustrates four main features which are common in most European countries:

“The participation rates of prime-age male workers (aged 25 to 54), at around 90%, remain the highest of all groups. The participation rates of men aged 55 to 64 years, which had recorded a steady decline in the past twenty five years, are showing clear signs of a reversal in most countries since the turn of the century, mostly due to pension reforms raising the statutory retirement age or the state pension age; female participation rates have steadily increased over the past twenty five years, largely reflecting societal trends; the participation rates of young people (aged 15 to 24 years) have declined, mostly due to a longer stay in school” European Commission (2014: 30)

*Figure 1. Economic activity 1971-2011, England and Wales*

Notes: ‘70-74’ refers to 70 and older in 1971; 75+ was not recorded in 2001; school leaving age was raised from 15 to 16 in 1972.

The changes observed make clear that on the one hand there is room for continuing significant change to economic activity rates, and on the other hand past change has not been at a steady pace, and therefore the future is not easily predictable. The key questions for future change involve prediction of the impact of (a) educational and training opportunities for young adults on their participation in the workforce, (b) women’s increasing economic activity at all ages above 24, and (c) benefit changes, particularly the increasing age of entitlement to a state pension.

Key questions for making local forecasts of economic activity

With the aim of advising on a strategy for projecting local economic activity that is pertinent for every local district, three key questions arise which this paper aims to answer. The questions have been prompted by consideration of the existing official forecasts of fertility, mortality and household headship rates already referred to (labelled ‘sub-national projections’ by their producers). Each assumes that future local trends will parallel a national trajectory. For example, a projection of age-specific fertility rates is carried out using national data, and recent local data provides the starting point for the local projection. Past local data are not used to establish a specific local trajectory. The first question is therefore whether it is better to directly model past local economic activity and continue those past changes into the future, or to relate the recent local level of economic activity to a national projection. This is answered below from a study of local economic activity in 1991, 2001 and 2011, including the tests of alternative forecasts of 2011 activity from data for earlier years.

The second and third questions refer to the most suitable data available for implementing a strategy. If a national forecast is useful, which is the most suitable in existence for the UK or countries within it? Finally, what data source should measure the local level of economic activity? The choice is between the most recent census and the more regular but less reliable annual surveys.

Methods for projecting economic activity

Accepted ground rules for collecting information about the labour market have been produced by the International Labour Organisation (ILO 1982, last updated in ILO 2013), which ask that “indicators should be computed for the population as a whole and disaggregated by sex, specified age groups (including separate categories for youth), level of educational attainment, geographic region, urban and rural areas, and other relevant characteristics” (ILO 2013: 14). Forecasts of the labour force are almost always undertaken by aggregating separate forecasts of males and females in five-year age groups, each derived by multiplying a population forecast by a forecast of economic activity for the age-sex group.

The method of achieving a forecast of economic activity for each age-sex group varies, as described for example in the reviews by the ILO (2011), Burniaux et al. (2004) and Carone (2005). Each review distinguishes the methods slightly differently but four categories may be usefully identified following the ILO:

Qualitative or judgemental approaches are often used, not only when time series of past activity rates do not exist. Most Local Plans in Britain use scenarios of plausible qualitative changes in economic activity, or no change at all. The European Central Bank has also employed such qualitative
scenarios, such as moving towards the countries with most activity, or moving towards the experience of the USA (for example Genre & Gomez-Salvador 2002).

**Time extrapolation** of activity rates, including logistic or other means of dampening past change. These approaches have been most common, certainly until the past decade, and were used for example in the latest official projections in the UK (Madouros 2006), in the United States (BLS 2014), and for those made for all countries by the International Labour Organisation (ILO 2011).

*Regression models* are based on correlations with economic, cultural, demographic factors. Although unusual outside academic projects because of the need to project the correlated factors, they are implicitly used by Statistics Canada in a micro-simulation model from which projections are derived (Martel et al. 2011; Caron-Malenfant & Coulombe 2015). An example in the UK is the Working Futures model developed by the University of Warwick and Cambridge Econometrics, which embeds labour supply within an economic forecast (Wilson et al. 2014). Economic activity is modelled as a function of unemployment and other variables including house prices relative to wages. Future changes in economic activity rates specific to age and sex are ignored within a focus on the overall level of activity. Some Local Plans adopt a similar demand-led approach, but have difficulty making consistent age-specific assumptions for labour supply calculations (Peter Brett Associates, 2014).

*Cohort approaches* are based on analyses of net entry and exit rates from the labour force at each age, males and females separately. The approach conveniently captures how the activity and inactivity of an age group in year t changes as the cohort becomes one year older in year t+1. It is an approach developed by the OECD (Burniaux et al. 2004) and taken up also by the European Commission (EC 2014), the European Central Bank (Balleer et al. 2009), and the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR 2015). Since this is the approach taken by the two main current projections for the UK by the EC and OBR, it is defined more precisely here.

**Entry rate** = \(1 - \frac{\text{Inactivity rate age } a+1 \text{ time } t+1}{\text{Inactivity rate age } a \text{ time } t}\), calculated only when activity is increasing as it is for most ages up to around 50.

For example the entry rate would show that a tenth of 25 year old inactive males become active at age 26, if inactivity declines from 20% to 18%, since \(1-\frac{18}{20} = 0.1\). Although called an ‘entry rate’ in all the documentation cited, it is best described as a net attrition rate among the inactive. These are not true demographic rates since the numerator is not a subset of the denominator: there is movement into activity and out of it and the ‘rate’ indicates a net flow. It is calculated from past data and applied to those inactive at future time t. The resulting decrease in inactivity is added to the activity projected for age a at time t, to compute the activity rate for age a+1 at time t+1.

**Exit rate** = \(\frac{\text{Activity rate age } a+1 \text{ time } t+1}{\text{Activity rate age } a \text{ time } t}\), calculated only when activity is decreasing.

For example the exit rate would show that the 60 year old activity was 90% of 59 year old activity, if activity it to 54% from 60%, since \(54/60 = 0.9\). It is a net attrition rate rather than a true demographic rate. It is calculated from past data for ages a and a+1, and applied to the forecast activity rate for age a at future time t, to compute the activity rate for age a+1 at time t+1.

The cohort approach was developed particularly to model the activity of each cohort of women in the recent decades. In Figure 1 for example, the dip in economic activity rates observed for women
in their early thirties is a product of earlier cohorts with lower economic activity. For each cohort the economic activity of women in their thirties is higher than for women in their late twenties. The cohort approach ensures that the observed increases in activity will continue, but starting from the higher level of younger women’s activity seen in recent years. When assuming constant entry and exit rates, the cohort approach is not as ‘conservative’ as assuming constant activity rates. However, it is “more restrictive than assuming the catching-up of female participation rates towards male levels, or female levels in countries where women’s participation is higher. Indeed, the cohort approach implies no further modification of women’s/men’s individual characteristics and thus behaviour (in terms of probability of entry and exit) beyond those of the latest generations” (Carone 2005: 15). All the UK projections using a cohort approach do assume a constant entry and exit rates at prime ages 25-54, but make different assumptions for young and older ages as described in the next section.

**Economic activity forecasts for the UK**

National forecasts of economic activity are more likely to be robust than local forecasts, due to long time series of past data, the large samples from national surveys, and the greater steadiness of national trends. UK government projections of economic activity were last produced based on data up to 2004, by the Office for National Statistics (Madouros 2006), providing projections up to 2020. The International Labour Organisation’s forecasts (2011) for the UK, also to 2020, were published based on data up to 2010. Neither is up to date and far-reaching enough for current use. Since then, the UK government has funded other institutions to produce economic forecasting models that include economic activity (Wilson et al. 2014; OBR 2015). The outsourcing has led to a reduction in documentation, data release and relevance to a wider set of potential uses. Additionally the European Commission have produced economic activity forecasts for each country in the EU as part of its regular report on ageing, from which data are available on request for research purposes.

As mentioned above, Wilson et al. (2014) report to the UK Commission for Employment and Skills does not attempt to forecast each age-sex sensitively to past and predictable trends. The other two forecasts of the UK’s economic activity, by the OBR and the EC, both use a cohort approach with single years of age. Table 1 summarises their assumptions, although the lack of detailed documentation makes it difficult to be precise. Although both have considerable official status, Table 1 and its discussion provides the first published comparison. Their main differences cover the entire age range of assumptions – their treatment of young adults and older people, and their choice of past years used to calculate cohort rates.
Table 1. Assumptions made for UK labour force forecasts by OBR and EC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 55-74</td>
<td>Exit rates are shift upwards to reflect pension changes, using an analysis of average retirement age and judgement, country by country.</td>
<td>Female exit rates near retirement age are reduced to be equal to male exit rates. To reflect a rise in State Pension Age of 1 year, exit rates are shifted to equal those aged one year younger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 75+</td>
<td>Zero activity</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: EC (2014), OBR (2015) and personal emails.

The EC projection is not concerned with young ages, and keeps their activity rates under 25 constant. The OBR assumes that in the UK activity rates at ages 16-19 will reduce in response to greater participation in education and training, and that the entry rate for age 20-24 will increase in order to compensate. The rates assumed are not documented.

For ages 25-54, both EC and OBR assume constant cohort entry and exit rates based on past experience as measured by the Labour Force Survey, but the EC uses the most recent decade as its benchmark while the OBR uses the decade prior to the global financial crisis on the assumption that this reflects a more buoyant period which the future will come to resemble.

For older ages, the assumptions attempt to foresee the impact of changes to the State Pension Age which are assumed to encourage more activity, but the assumptions are developed in different ways which are summarised in Table 1, but are not well documented. The OBR (2014) provide a chart of their forecast for age groups from 60, but no information on their forecast for other age groups.

A technical approximation concerning communal establishments affects both EC and OBR forecasts and should be borne in mind for local applications. Both forecasts calculate their activity rates from the Labour Force Survey which excludes those living in communal establishments, other than students. But both apply their forecast activity rates to official forecasts of the entire population including all those in communal establishments. The impact is small, because only a little over 0.5% of the population under 65 are excluded from the Labour Force Survey for this reason. However the activity of non-student residents of communal establishments aged between 25 and 64 is 39%, less than half of those of the same age in the population as a whole (calculated from Census 2011 table DC1602EWla for England and Wales). In sub-national areas with significant communal establishments, this is an issue which should be taken into consideration even if it is has not been an issue at national scale.
Figure 2 shows the EC forecasts together with the time series of previous economic activity for selected age groups, and the OBR forecasts for an elderly age group. While the economic activity of those between the ages of 25 and 55 has reasonably continued the past increase, albeit at a slower rate than before, the forecast for young and older adults is not plausible. The constant activity rate for those aged under 25 is unlikely in the UK, due to government legislation insisting on continued formal learning up to and including age 18 from 2010, which is expected to increase the proportion staying full time at school and therefore continue the reduction in economic activity. The forecast economic activity for those aged over 55, represented in Figure 2 by ages 65-69, is almost constant for the decade of the projection. This is unlikely given the rise in the past twenty years and the raising of the State Pension Age from 65 to 67 by 2028 for both men and women.

The OBR forecast is also shown for ages 65-69, estimated by eye from OBR (2014). It is very different from the EC forecast in its steady increase, and in its convergence of women’s activity to that of men’s, something that has not been seen in the past decade.

While the EC forecast of economic activity is implausible for younger and older ages in ways that makes it unsuitable for general use, the plausibility of the OBR forecast is not open to test because it is not released for all age-sex groups. Representations to release them at least in aggregated quinary age groups have so far not overcome this reticence.

Figure 2. Past and forecast economic activity for selected age groups, European Commission and Office for Budget Responsibility

Notes: Selected age groups for young adults (thick line), prime ages (thick dotted), older ages (thin line for EC and thin dotted for OBR).
Subnational economic activity: variation and trends

The economic activity from national projections cannot be applied to each local district in Britain because differences between them are significant and persistent. This section examines the stability over time of differences between local authorities and changes in economic activity between census years. Evidence on these aspects of stability is helpful when choosing methods for forecasting future local economic activity rates.

There are two main sources of data for local economic activity, the decennial Census and the Labour Force Survey. The latter is extended by other surveys and termed the Annual Population Survey for the analyses released for local authority districts in the UK. It has a relatively small sample size when restricted to individual areas. Figure 3 shows its annual estimates and 95% confidence intervals for two areas and three selected age groups, together with the Census result for 2011 which in each case very close to the APS sample estimate for that year. To yield reliable trends over time, so many years would be needed to be aggregated that no advantage is gained over the Census data. There are statistical estimation methods which could provide estimates of trends, but at present they are not developed sufficiently to apply in a standard way in local demographic studies (Skinner, 1991; ONS 2011). Since results later in this and the following section also suggest that local trends are not the best basis for forecasting, the APS is not considered further in this paper.
Figure 3. Estimates of economic activity from the Annual Population Survey, selected age-sex groups and local districts

Manchester

Cheshire East

Note: Vertical bars show the 95% confidence interval for each survey estimate (and extend from 0 to 100 when the sample size was too small to release the result). The survey estimates are shown for each year ending in March 2005 to 2015. The circular mark at 2011 is in each case economic activity from the 2011 Census.

Sources: NOMIS for the APS estimates and 95% confidence intervals; Census 2011.

For the analyses of this and the following section, the decennial census has been used in a database for the 348 Districts of England and Wales reported in the 2011 Census, together with data from 1991 and 2001 for the later boundaries, made straightforward because all significant boundary changes involved amalgamation of whole districts. The age groups used are those published consistently from the three censuses for males and females, namely 16-19 and five year age groups from 20-24 to 60-64. An older age group is not consistently available, nor are data for consistent boundaries available for districts in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

While Figure 1 above gave the national values of economic activity at each age and sex, Table 2 summarises the variation of economic activity between local authorities, the correlation of local authority district economic activity over time, and the correlation of changes in economic activity.
First, the standard deviation between local authority economic activity shows no general pattern of reduction over time: there is no evidence of convergence between areas towards a national value. For the young age groups the standard deviation increases, probably due to the counting of students as resident at their term-time address after the 1991 Census, creating more low-activity areas. But in no age group is there a clear trend towards less variation between areas, except perhaps among men over 50 where the variation is considerably less in 2011 than in either 1991 or 2001. This coincides with the recovery of older men’s activity noted in Figure 1. The recovery was greatest over time. Table 2 yields results that are immediately useful in indicating potential strategies for forecasting local economic activity.

Table 2. Stability of local economic activity 1991-2001-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex and age</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>r_{91,01}</th>
<th>r_{01,11}</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-64</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Correlation from one census to the next – all values are significantly different from 0 at p<0.01%.

among economically depressed areas that had been affected most by de-industrialisation of the 1970s. There may also be greater variation between areas at times of economic recession which coincided with both the 1991 and 2011 censuses.

Second, the correlation of local economic activity from one census to the next is high, for each age-sex group. The minimum correlation between 2001 and 2011 for any age-sex group is 0.80, and most are 0.9 or greater. Areas tend to maintain their position relative to the average, a steadiness that can be utilised in forecasting. For example, the lowest activity for men is found in ex-industrial areas, and for women in areas with large Muslim populations. It is not the case that localities never change their economic fortunes, and changes may be predictable in particular cases.

Third, local change from one period has not continued into the next. Continuity of change would have been useful to guide local projections, but the correlations between change during 1991-2001 and change during 2001-2011 are low for all age-sex groups, the highest being 0.15. The strongest correlations are negative, for males aged between 25 and 49, where a decrease in the 1990s was followed by an increase in the 2000s.

In summary, it seems that local areas follow national fortunes to a large extent, but deviate from the trend in small ways that were unpredictable from past performance, at least in the last two decades. Changes in the second decade tended instead to compensate the changes in the first period.

**Tests of strategies for a standard subnational projection of economic activity**

The previous section’s review of the past patterns of local economic activity suggests that a strategy for forecasting local economic activity should accept a steady ranking of areas relative to the national condition, but use a national projection to lift or depress the age and sex-specific activity rates by the same amount in each area. As mentioned earlier, this is the strategy employed for demographic rates in population and household projections.

In this section we test out four variations of this strategy to hold local differences constant with respect to a national projection, and five further alternatives, each projecting the local economic activity rate from 2001 to 2011 by age and sex. The strategies are listed in Table 3, and the distinctions between them now clarified.

**Table 3. Strategies to project the local economic activity rate from 2001 to 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>In line with national change 01-11 - additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>In line with national change - 01-11 multiplicative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>In line with national change 91-01 - additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>In line with national change - 91-01 multiplicative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Continuing local change 91-01 - additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Continuing local change 91-01 - multiplicative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Continuing local cohort change 91-01 - additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Continuing local cohort change91-01 - multiplicative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In strategies A and B the national change is assumed to have been predicted correctly from 2001 to 2011. In strategies C and D the national change is projected as continuation of the change that occurred nationally between 1991 and 2001, separately for each age and sex.

The distinction between additive and multiplicative refers to the way in which a change in the percentage economically active from time 1 to time 2 is applied locally: either addition of the difference in percentages, or multiplication by the ratio of percentages. For example if the national rate for an age-sex group has changed from 50% to 55%, local rates are either increased by 5% points (additive) or multiplied by 55/50 (multiplicative).

Strategies E and F ignore the national change and continue the local change from 1991 to 2001, separately for each age and sex. Strategies G and H are also driven by the local change from 1991 to 2001, but applied to cohorts. For example, the increase in economic activity for 20-24 year old males in 1991 as they became 30-34 in 2001, is applied to the 20-24 year olds in 2001. This is only possible for five-year age groups that appear in the data ten years younger in 1991. The younger age groups 16-19, 20-24 and 25-29 are projected by period change as in strategies E and F.

Finally strategy I is a benchmark projection which assumes that the economic activity rate in 2011 will be unchanged from 2001, for each age and sex group.

In each case, the projected economic activity rate is constrained to remain within limits of 0% and 100%. For example if the application of an upward national or local trend brings the rate above 100% it is reset to equal 100%.

**Accuracy averaged across all age-sex groups**

Figure 4 shows the results of each strategy applied to the 2001-2011 period. Each projection is compared to the 2011 values and the absolute difference in percentage points summarised by its median across the 348 Districts. The Median Absolute Error (MAE) is commonly used in the evaluation of local demographic estimates and projections to indicate the ‘typical’ error, not influenced by outliers (Smith et al. 2013). The mean of the MAE is taken across the twenty age-sex groups, while the MAE for individual age groups is shown in Figure 5.

The first conclusion from Figure 4 is that an accurate national projection is the key to success. Strategies A and B which assumed the 2011 national change was known, achieve an average local accuracy across all areas and age-sex groups well under 2 percentage points, while all other strategies’ average accuracy are in the range 4.8% to 6%.

The additive or multiplicative options make little difference to the results. Where an increase is being applied as with women’s activity rates, a multiplicative application to an area with already high rates will increase it more than other areas, which is counter-intuitive as there is a smaller capacity for increase. On the other hand the multiplicative application of a decrease would make more intuitive sense than the same percentage reduction in all areas, which would be unexpected in areas with already low economic activity. The logic would lead to a hybrid application of past change that recognises limits in a sensitive manner. However the evaluation here of the two options suggests that there is not much practical difference in the results.
Notes: Median Absolute Error in the percentage economically active in each of 348 local authority districts of England and Wales, averaged across 20 age-sex groups. The forecasting strategies A to I are further explained in Table 3 and the text.


Repeating the change of the decade 1991-2001 gave similar levels of accuracy for 2011 whether national change was applied to all areas, or local change was implemented for each age group or each cohort. The six strategies C to H all achieved an accuracy of between 5.5% and 6.0% when averaged across areas and age-sex groups. There was a slight improvement of 0.2 to 0.3 percentage points when applying local change (strategies E and F) rather than the national change (C and D).

A sobering result is that all six strategies using the experience of 1991-2001 were less accurate than assuming no change at all in economic activity rates after 2001. This was above all because the substantial decrease in male economic activity in the 1990s was halted and reversed in the 2000s. One might argue that in 2001 that reversal could not have been confidently predicted. One can also acknowledge that as the reversal became clear from national data during the early 2000s, a local projection could have taken it on board in updates during the decade.

Accuracy varying by age and sex

Figure 5 shows the accuracy of each strategy separately for each age-sex category. The accuracy of the ‘no change’ strategy I is a fortuitous result of its low error for males aged between 20 and 49. But that strategy performs particularly badly for women aged 30 and older whose economic activity increased substantially in the 2000s. The slight advantage of the additive approach over the multiplicative in the first two strategies A and B is clear throughout the age range, where the applied changes were generally increases. However there is no hard and fast rule, as for example the
A multiplicative approach was more accurate for women over 50 when strategies C and D are compared, even though the changes being applied from the 1990s were also increases.

Figure 5. Accuracy of nine projections of 2011 economic activity rate by age and sex.

Notes: Median absolute error of percentage economic activity across 348 local authority districtsof England and Wales.

The local cohort approach (strategies G and H) is only different from the local period approach for ages 35 and above. In this comparison, the cohort outperforms the period for men but not for women.

Accuracy of aggregate economic activity for all persons

A further question of interest is the advantage gained by forecasting each five-year age group separately. From our data we can apply each strategy (except the cohort approach) to the population aged 16-64 as a whole, for example applying the national change for persons aged 16-64 as a whole, to each local area’s economic activity for that age group. Table 4 compares the accuracy of this aggregate projection with the result of adding the 20 age-sex groups projected separately, and also a projection of just three age groups for each of males and females that capture the young ages 16-24, ‘prime’ age groups 25-49, and older groups 50-64. Projection strategies A and E are shown in the table; the other strategies give similar conclusions.
Table 4. Accuracy of projected 2011 economic activity rate for persons aged 16-64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median absolute error, percentage points</th>
<th>Projected directly</th>
<th>Aggregate of projections for 6 age-sex groups</th>
<th>Aggregate of projections for 20 age-sex groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: In line with national change 01-11</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: Continuing local change 91-01</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Median absolute accuracy of percentage economic activity across 348 LADs of England and Wales.

If the economic activity of the population as a whole were the only indicator of importance, Table 4 suggests that there is no gain in accuracy from aggregating separate projections of each age-sex group. The accuracy of projections made directly of those aged 16-64 equalled or slightly exceeded the aggregate of age-sex specific projections, when an accurate national projection was assumed (strategy A). The lower accuracy of continuing past change (strategy E, with similar results for all strategies C to I), was also not greatly improved, if at all, by working with age-sex groups. In spite of the changing age structure of the population, and the different trends observed for economic activity for males and females of different ages, it seems that their aggregate impact on economic activity is all that is needed to reasonably project future economic activity, in the aggregate.

In practice, the age-sex disaggregation is important to major applications, for example to consider trends in older and younger workers and to allow modelling of household needs. It is unlikely that a strategy will be accepted without an age-sex breakdown.

Combining strategies

Since an accurate national projection is clearly an important element of accuracy, but past local information is intuitively important and slightly improves performance compared to a past national trend (see discussion of Figure 4 above), a strategy that combines the two approaches may be more accurate than either. Combining strategies is a well-tested refinement that sometimes produces positive results (Armstrong 2001; Wilson 2015). This is a more complex strategy to implement, involving first applying local change and then scaling all results to meet the national projection:

J Continuing local change 91-01 (additive, strategy E), then all local results scaled by the same factor to give a national result consistent with the national projection of 2011.

This hybrid strategy was implemented for each age-sex group and constrained to remain within the range 0%-100% in the same way as strategies A to I. Its median absolute error across all areas, averaged across age-sex groups is 3.6, midway between the accuracy of strategies A and E (Figure 4 above). The hybrid strategy worsened the accuracy in some age groups due to the adverse impact of scaling, and in no age group did the hybrid strategy gain a better average performance than Strategy A.

Implementation, scenarios and sensitivity testing

In summary, the empirical results above indicate a range of strategies for local projections of economic activity, in which a good national forecast is a strong advantage because local change tends to follow national fortunes. If local data is to improve a local forecast beyond giving it a
starting point relative to national economic activity, evidence and argument would need to
demonstrate why past local trends would continue, as they have not historically tended to, or why
local conditions are changing in ways likely to affect economic activity differently from the national
trend.

The calculation of a projection is a straightforward multiplication of the forecast age-sex
composition of the population by the forecast age-sex specific economic activity rates, which can be
achieved in spreadsheet applications or statistical software. In the UK the standard software used in
local planning is the Derived Forecasts module of POPGROUP cited in the introduction, developed in
collaboration with local authorities and now owned by the Local Government Association. It allows
flexible definition of age-sex groups for economic activity, the specification of a reference projection
of activity rates to be applied to evidence for recent local rates, in either additive or multiplicative
modes, and provides comparisons of different scenarios based on varying assumptions about the
development of either economic activity or population change.

The POPGROUP software integrates population, household and labour force projections, and
implements the less straightforward calculation of the impact of jobs or housing targets on
population, appropriately combining assumptions for demographic rates or accounts, economic
activity, household formation, commuting, unemployment, occupancy of housing and the
institutional population (Simpson 2004). It provides basic graphical and tabular analysis, and the
decomposition of change in the labour force due to population change and to changing economic
activity, while its Excel platform allows generic research skills to be used for further tailored
analyses. POPGROUP is used for some sub-national projections (but not yet of the labour force) by
the statistical agencies of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

**Plausible scenarios and sensitivity testing**

Plausible scenarios (Ramirez and Selin 2014) are important in settling on a most suitable forecast
and a range of possible futures around it. In general, the scenarios test the sensitivity of a labour
force forecast to alternative assumptions. The alternatives serve two purposes – to illustrate
uncertainty about current trends so that planners can acknowledge and cater for the range of labour
supply that may occur, and to consider the impact of new factors that would alter the current
trends, including specific policy changes and possible external economic and other ‘shocks’ to the
system. The distinction between these two purposes is sometimes termed ‘policy off’ and ‘policy
on’, inappropriately since current trends include the impact of recent policies. The distinction is
better thought of as ‘business as usual’ and ‘new policy’.

The first set of scenarios, that illustrate uncertainty about current trends, is likely to include:

- A range of national economic activity forecasts based on logical extrapolation of the impact of
  existing trends and the impact of existing policy on education and state pension age.
- Variations based on study of local evidence of changing economic activity relative to the
  national.
- A range of population forecast outcomes to reflect uncertainty in the population forecaster’s
  assumptions about fertility, mortality and in particular migration.

The second set of scenarios, responding to new factors, is likely to include:
• Policy aspirations to restrict or to expand the number of jobs in the locality, which are usually known as ‘job-led’ forecasts. They might be led by the local number of jobs indicated by economic forecasts that include national or subnational policy targets.
• ‘Housing-led’ forecasts, where a target level of house-building determines the migration to a locality and the resulting size of the labour force.
• Understanding of local educational and social changes which would impact on the economic activity of particular age-sex groups differently from the past and from national expectations.

The many possible scenarios that a forecaster can implement have to be reduced to be useful to policy discussions. Identification of common features among scenarios may be helpful. In the UK, current population growth is sufficient in most localities to drive the growth of the labour supply to a greater extent than variations in levels of economic activity. Nonetheless, consideration of the impact of alternative policy targets may have marginal effects with major implications for housing requirements. Experience also suggests that policy aspirations are generally under-achieved, so that planners do well to build in contingencies, a practice which a range of scenarios encourages.

Discussion and further research

This review of methods of forecasting the labour force and their application to sub-national areas has answered major questions. A robust national projection available for use in sub-national planning and research is an essential ingredient, because local economic activity tends to follow national trends. These trends at present include the later entry of young adults into the labour market, a continuing increase in women’s economic activity, and a later exit from the labour market partly as a result of changes to the State Pension Age. Local census and survey data are sufficient to provide a starting point of local characteristics of economic activity, but insufficient to usefully project forward past trends in a deterministic manner.

This review has also laid a platform for further research and identified a large number of areas where that research is likely to be fruitful. The empirical results based on the censuses of 1991, 2001 and 2011 for local authority districts in England and Wales are but one testing ground, and could usefully be extended using data in other countries and data for smaller and larger areas.

A national projection is a useful element to include in local projections, not least because it can be based on analysis of recent and detailed statistics not available for local areas. There is a clear need for the development of an evidence-based, documented projection for the UK, disseminated by an established public research centre or statistical agency. Attention to the role of student economic activity and the impact of changing eligibility to the state pension should be major themes of that research, the latter also motivated by the rapid growth of the elderly population during the next decades. Attention to methods should develop the cohort approach and combine it with other time series analysis, as have, for example, Bermudez et al. (2013).

Local studies can more rigorously explore the potential and limits of forecasting economic activity based on local characteristics in addition to age and sex. Ethnic composition is one reasonably predictable factor associated with economic activity particularly for women of Muslim background, for which local demographic projections are in development (Rees et al 2015; Green et al 2015).
Further research may indicate other characteristics such as industrial structure that could help local forecasts, when those characteristics are changing in a foreseeable way. Although correlates of economic activity are not necessarily capable of improving forecasts, their study may also indicate groups of local areas which could be profitably forecast together.

Other topics for research include the further exploitation of national surveys using small area estimation techniques, the study of forecast accuracy including the performance of different forecasting strategies and combinations of them, the influence in planning of assumptions seldom tested such as the exclusion of communal establishments from survey estimates, and the impact of commuting and unemployment when relating labour supply to labour demand in local planning. These call for statistical, planning and econometric research skills combined with a careful dialogue with practitioners. There is a strong case for improved research to support the forecasting needs of local planning.
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