



Statistics
Canada

Statistique
Canada

Statistics Canada's experiences in using Paradata to manage Responsive Collection Design CATI household surveys

www.statcan.gc.ca



CANADA 150

Telling Canada's
story in numbers

François Laflamme

RSD Workshop
University of Michigan, 2017

Canada



Outline

- Introduction
- RCD strategy
- Active management for RCD
 - Survey monitoring and key indicators
 - Monitoring and decision making tools
 - Some examples
- Conclusion and highlights



Introduction

- Responsive Collection Design (RCD) is an approach that uses the information available prior and during data collection to adjust the collection strategy for the remaining in-progress cases
- First two RCD surveys in 2009 and 2010
 - Used control groups
- Since then, several RCD surveys were conducted
 - Fall 2015, up to 5 concurrent RCD surveys in the field
- Since January 2015, all CATI surveys use RCD strategy (with a few exceptions)




RCD objectives

- Improve response rate
- Improve sample representativeness
- Reduce cost
- Reduce nonresponse bias
- Any combination of these potential objectives
 - Statistics Canada strategy aims to improve both response rate and sample representativeness



RCD Strategy Overview



Active Management (AM)

- Set of plans and tools to manage data collection while in progress
- In addition to general AM objectives, AM is also used the RCD context:
 - to provide timely information on survey progress and performance using key indicators
 - to decide the right moment to initiate RCD phases
 - to determine if interventions are required
 - If so, determine which ones are the most appropriate to meet RCD objectives




Survey Monitoring

- Key indicators
 - Response rate (by domains of interest)
 - Cost and budget (% of budget spent)
 - Productivity
 - Responding potential of in-progress cases
 - Efforts and results
 - Representativeness indicator (variability between response rates)
- Also used to identify when to start RCD Phase 1 and 2

Adjustment Strategy - Key Indicators to Identify Start of RCD Phase 1

- Decision based on survey progress in terms of response rate, productivity, proportion of budget spent (cost) and responding potential of in-progress sample





RCD Dashboard - Example for RCD Phase 1

- Dashboards are used to identify when to start both RCD phases (i.e. the window) to facilitate interpretation and objective decision-making
 - RCD phase 1: 6 conditions, RCD phase 2: 7 conditions
- Yellow (=3 or 4) and red lights (=5 or 6) signal when many conditions are met

Regional Office	Response Rate		Productivity (Average over last 5 days (%))			Budget and Cost				Propensity of In-Progress Cases			Sum of conditions	
	Response Rate %	Cond. 1	Initial	Current	Cond. 2	% of budget payroll hours	Cond. 3	% of budget system time	Cond. 4	% of regular In-progress cases	Cond. 5	Average number of calls for regular in-progress cases divided by the cap on calls		Cond. 6
Edmonton	52.2%	1	64.3%	41.6%	1	56.6%	1	62.4%	1	28.3%	0	7.2	0	4
Halifax	52.6%	1	63.6%	45.5%	1	62.8%	1	69.8%	1	27.0%	0	7.7	0	4
Sherbrooke	46.3%	0	66.9%	58.3%	1	49.8%	0	50.7%	0	34.5%	0	3.4	0	1
Sturgeon Falls	64.2%	1	74.4%	46.6%	1	67.2%	1	65.6%	1	13.9%	1	11.3	1	6
Winnipeg	62.4%	1	69.9%	46.8%	1	69.8%	1	69.0%	1	12.5%	1	13.0	1	6



Other Survey Monitoring Examples

- RCD key indicators (dashboard)
 - Interviewing progress, results and efforts
 - Refusal conversion efforts and results
- Response rate by domain of interest - Example
 - Priority and representativeness
- In-progress cases - Example
 - Daily distribution of cases and calls issued by Blaise group
 - Daily efficiency by Blaise group
- Several other ad hoc tools
 - Used to identify problems or emerging issues





Response Rate by Domain of Interest – Priority for RCD Phase 2

RO	Health Region (HR)	Region Name	Sample	Response	Out-of-scope	Observed Response Rate in Field (H)	Expected Response rate (K)	Expected - Observed Response rate (K-H)
HFX	1011	NF- Eastern Health Authority	133	82	13	68.3%	65.0%	-3.3%
HFX	1012	NF- Health and Community Services Central Region	81	53	9	73.6%	65.0%	-8.6%
HFX	1013	NF- Health and Community Services Western Region	75	44	11	68.8%	70.0%	1.3%
HFX	1014	NF- Labrador-Grenfell Health Authority	51	23	9	54.8%	60.0%	5.2%
HFX	1101	Kings County	31	15	6	60.0%	60.0%	0.0%
HFX	1102	Queens County	85	44	20	67.7%	65.0%	-2.7%
HFX	1103	Prince County	63	33	11	63.5%	65.0%	1.5%
HFX	1210	Zone 1 (Yarmouth/South Shore)	70	40	11	67.8%	70.0%	2.2%
HFX	1223	Zone 2 (Kentville)	53	39	9	88.6%	75.0%	-13.6%
HFX	1230	Zone 3 (Truro)	63	40	8	72.7%	70.0%	-2.7%
HFX	1240	Zone 4 (New Glasgow)	60	35	8	67.3%	70.0%	2.7%
HFX	1258	Zone 5 (Cape Breton)	74	32	13	52.5%	70.0%	17.5%
HFX	1269	Zone 6 (Halifax)	118	66	17	65.3%	75.0%	9.7%
HFX	1301	Region 1 (Moncton)	81	55	11	78.6%	75.0%	-3.6%
HFX	1302	Region 2 (Saint John)	73	49	9	76.6%	75.0%	-1.6%
HFX	1303	Region 3 (Fredericton)	75	46	14	75.4%	75.0%	-0.4%
HFX	1304	Region 4 (Edmunston)	45	28	7	73.7%	65.0%	-8.7%
HFX	1305	Region 5 (Campbellton)	42	22	7	62.9%	75.0%	12.1%
HFX	1306	Region 6 (Bathurst)	59	34	7	65.4%	70.0%	4.6%
HFX	1307	Region 7 (Chatham)	44	23	3	56.1%	70.0%	13.9%



Distribution of Cases vs Proportion of Calls







Average Efficiency by Group

- Definition

- C = Number of completed interviews on a given day and group
- T = number of calls of a given day and group
- Efficiency = C / T



Guidelines on Workload Distribution

- To maximize global efficiency, work on each group should be proportional to its relative efficiency and size
 - Currently developing guidelines
- Example of monitoring tool

Blaise Group	Regular	Refusal	Senior interviewer	No-contact	High probability	Overall
Size of the group	261	206	258	233	57	1,015
Relative size of the group	26%	20%	25%	23%	6%	100%
Observed efficiency	2.6%	3.5%	3.0%	1.6%	7.1%	18%
Proposed workload	22.8%	24.3%	26.5%	12.7%	13.8%	100%
Observed workload	22.3%	24.6%	0.8%	45.0%	7.2%	100%

Conclusion and Highlights

- RCD cannot be implemented without Active Management (AM)
 - But AM can be performed without RCD
- AM and RCD is two important factors that help to maintain (and even improve) response rate overtime
- Sample representativeness generally improved in many surveys
- High probability group had positive impact
 - Model is able to identify the units that are more likely to respond
 - Able to get responses faster
- More efficient distribution of calls (effort)
 - Especially with the Guidelines on Workload Distribution
 - Improve refusal conversion rates



For more information, please contact
Pour plus d'information, veuillez contacter

François Laflamme
Francois.laflamme@canada.ca



English - my Translation

- Theory, it is when it's not working but we know why
- Practice, it is when it is working but, we don't know why
- When theory meets up with practice, it's not working and we don't know why

Bernard Werber